

**STOR 635 Exam: CWE Year: 2019**

There are 4 questions. Attempt all questions. You may appeal to any result proved in class without proof unless you are specifically asked to “**Give a complete proof.**”. State any result you use. All questions are worth the same number of total points (10 points). Points for parts of a question can be found in boxes on the right. *Even if you don't know the complete solution DONT STRESS OUT. Put down your attempt.* Partial credit will be assigned so try your best on each question.

1. Fix a probability mass function  $\mathbf{p} := \{p_k\}_{k \geq 0}$  and let  $\{Z_n\}_{n \geq 0}$  with  $Z_0 = 1$  be a branching process with offspring distribution  $\mathbf{p}$ . More precisely, let  $\{\xi_{i,j} : i, j \geq 1\}$  be **i.i.d** with distribution  $\mathbf{p}$ . Now define the sequence  $\{Z_n\}_{n \geq 0}$  recursively with  $Z_0 = 1$  and let

$$Z_n := \sum_{j=1}^{Z_{n-1}} \xi_{n,j} \quad n \geq 1,$$

with the understanding that if  $Z_{n-1} = 0$  then  $Z_n = 0$ . Thus  $\xi_{n,j}$  is interpreted as the number of children of individual  $j$  in generation  $n - 1$ . Define the probability generating function of  $\mathbf{p}$  as

$$\phi(s) := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} s^k p_k, \quad s \in [0, 1]$$

Suppose there exists a unique  $0 < \rho < 1$  such that  $\phi(\rho) = \rho$ .

- (a) Show that the sequence  $\{\rho^{Z_n} : n \geq 0\}$  is a Martingale. Here the filtration  $\{\mathcal{F}_n : n \geq 0\}$  is defined by  $\mathcal{F}_0 = \{\emptyset, \Omega\}$  and for  $n \geq 1$ ,  $\mathcal{F}_n = \sigma(\{\xi_{m,j} : 1 \leq m \leq n, j \geq 1\})$ . **Give a complete proof.**

5

- (b) Give reasons why there should be a limit random variable  $Y$  such that

$$\rho^{Z_n} \xrightarrow{a.s.} Y.$$

3

- (c) Calculate  $\mathbb{E}(Y)$ . Give reasons for your answer (don't just put down the answer).

2

2. Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$  be a probability space and let  $\{\mathcal{F}_n : n \geq 1\}$  be a collection of increasing sub  $\sigma$ -fields namely  $\mathcal{F}_n \subseteq \mathcal{F}$  for all  $n \geq 1$  and

$$\mathcal{F}_1 \subseteq \mathcal{F}_2 \subseteq \mathcal{F}_3 \subseteq \dots$$

Think of  $\mathcal{F}_n$  as the amount of information on day  $n$ . Define the sigma field

$$\mathcal{F}_\infty := \sigma(\cup_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{F}_n).$$

Give a complete proof to show that for any  $A \in \mathcal{F}_\infty$ , and any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , you can find an  $n < \infty$  and  $B \in \mathcal{F}_n$  (both  $n$  and  $B$  typically depend on  $A$  and  $\varepsilon$ ) such that

$$\mathbb{P}(A \Delta B) \leq \varepsilon.$$

Here  $B \Delta A := (B \setminus A) \cup (A \setminus B)$ .

**Hint: Good set principle**

**Point of the problem:** You are showing that you can **approximate** any set in  $\mathcal{F}_\infty$  by sets that you know about in “finite” time.

10

3. Suppose the Markov chain has finite state space  $S$  with  $|S| = K$ . Further assume it is irreducible namely for every  $x, y \in S$ ,  $\mathbb{P}_x(T_y < \infty) = 1$  where  $T_y = \inf \{n \geq 1 : X_n = y\}$ . Let  $a := \inf \{p_{xy} : p_{xy} > 0\}$  i.e. the smallest value amongst all strictly positive elements of the transition matrix. Show that there exists a constant  $C(a, K) < \infty$  such that

$$\max_{x, y \in S} \mathbb{E}_x(T_y) \leq C(a, K).$$

---

4. Suppose  $\{X_i : i \geq 1\}$  are a sequence of real valued **exchangeable** (not necessarily integrable i.e. one could have  $\mathbb{E}(|X_1|) = \infty$ ) random variables. Further suppose  $\varphi : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a bounded measurable function. Give a **complete proof** to show that there exists a random variable  $Y_\infty$  such that

$$\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \varphi(X_i)}{n} \xrightarrow{a.s.} Y_\infty$$

If you are planning to use De-Finetti's theorem then give a complete proof to show how one can derive the result above from De-Finetti's theorem. Just writing down De-Finetti implies "conditionally *i.i.d.* and thus we get the above result " will get you at most 3 points unless you can clearly justify how you can use De-Finetti to prove the above result. Note: you can also prove the above result directly without appealing to De-Finetti.

---

**STOR 635 End**