

# COMPREHENSIVE WRITTEN EXAMINATION, PAPER III

FRIDAY AUGUST 21, 2009 , 9:00 A.M.–1:00 P.M.

## STATISTICS 664 QUESTIONS

1. (70pts) Suppose we have a factor  $\alpha$  occurring at  $i = 1, \dots, I$  levels, with  $J$  observations per level. We use the model

$$Y_{ij} = \mu + \alpha_i + \epsilon_{ij}, \quad (1)$$

where  $\mu, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_I$  are unknown parameters, and  $\epsilon_{ij}$ 's are independent normally distributed random variables with zero means and constant variance  $\sigma^2$ . Let  $Y_{i.} = \sum_{j=1}^J Y_{ij}/J$ , and for  $r \geq 2$  and  $s = I - r \geq 2$ , let  $u = \sum_{i=1}^I Y_{i.}/I$ ,  $v = \sum_{i=1}^r Y_{i.}/r$ , and  $w = \sum_{i=r+1}^I Y_{i.}/s$ . We define

$$SS = \sum_{i=1}^I (Y_{i.} - u)^2, SS_1 = \sum_{i=1}^r (Y_{i.} - v)^2, SS_2 = \sum_{i=r+1}^I (Y_{i.} - w)^2, SS_3 = \sum_{i=1}^I rs(v - w)^2/I.$$

You may use matrix notation to answer the following questions, but no matrix should appear in your final answer except (a) and (e).

- (a) (5 pts) Rewrite model (1) in matrix form.
  - (b) (10 pts) Let  $\theta = c_0\mu + \sum_{i=1}^I c_i\alpha_i$  be an arbitrary linear function of the parameters. Derive necessary and sufficient conditions in terms of  $c_i$  for  $\theta$  to be estimable.
  - (c) (10 pts) Derive the best linear unbiased estimator (BLUE) for estimable  $\theta$ .
  - (d) (10 pts) Give confidence intervals for all of the differences  $\alpha_i - \alpha_j$ ,  $i, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, I\}$ ,  $i \neq j$ , with simultaneous coverage probability  $P$ .
  - (e) (15 pts) Prove that  $SS = SS_1 + SS_2 + SS_3$ .
  - (f) (10 pts) Find the distribution of  $SS_1, SS_2$  and  $SS_3$ , and prove that they are independent.
  - (g) (10 pts) Obtain an  $F$ -statistic based on  $SS_1$  and residual sum of squares from Model (1), and give its distribution. What null hypothesis can be tested using this  $F$ -statistic?
2. (30pts) Let  $Y_i, i = 1, \dots, n$  be the dependent variable which are uncorrelated with constant variance,  $x_i$  the independent variable which is known, and  $z_i$  an indicator variable for fixed  $t$ , with  $z_i = 0$  for  $x_i \leq t$ , and  $z_i = 1$  otherwise. We consider the following two models:

Model I:

$$Y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 z_i + \beta_2 x_i + \beta_3 x_i z_i + \epsilon_i.$$

Model II: Model I with the constraint that  $\beta_1 + \beta_3 t = 0$ .

- (a) (10 pts) For both Model I and Model II, describe in as much detail as possible the proposed relationship between  $Y$  and  $x$ . (A plot of  $Y$  versus  $x$  showing the shape of the fitted model can be used as part of the answer)
- (b) (10 pts) For Model I, will the ordinary least squares estimator of  $\beta_2$  depends only on those  $Y_i$  for which  $x_i \leq t$ ? If your answer is yes, prove your claim. If your answer is no, provide a counter example.
- (c) (10 pts) For Model II, will the restricted least squares estimator of  $\beta_2$  depends only on those  $Y_i$  for which  $x_i \leq t$ ? If your answer is yes, prove your claim. If your answer is no, provide a counter example.

## Solutions, CWE 664, 2009

1(a)(b)(c) standard results. 1(d) use Tukey's method:  $(Y_i. - Y_j.) \pm q_{1-P, I, J-I}^* s \sqrt{1/J}$ , where  $q^*$  is the upper  $1 - P$  point of the studentized range distribution. 1(e) Write all SS in matrix notation and the proof is obvious 1(f) scaled non-central  $\chi^2$  distribution with  $r - 1, s - 1$ , and one degree of freedom. 1(g) F distribution with  $r - 1$  and  $I(J - 1)$  degree of freedom. Testing  $H_0: \alpha_1 = \dots = \alpha_r$ .

2(a) Model I two separate regression lines. Model II broken line. (b) Yes (c) No