

# COMPREHENSIVE WRITTEN EXAMINATION, PAPER III

FRIDAY AUGUST 18, 2006, 9:00 A.M.–1:00 P.M.

## STATISTICS 174 QUESTIONS

*Answer all parts. Closed book, calculators allowed. It is important to show all working, especially with numerical calculations. Statistical tables are provided. You may freely quote results from the course notes or text without proof, but to the extent that it is feasible to do so, state precisely the result you are quoting.*

Consider the following bivariate linear regression model (BLRM):

$$y_{i,1} = \sum_{j=1}^p x_{i,j} \beta_{j,1} + \epsilon_{i,1}, \quad (1)$$

$$y_{i,2} = \sum_{j=1}^p x_{i,j} \beta_{j,2} + \epsilon_{i,2}, \quad (2)$$

where  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ,  $\epsilon_i = (\epsilon_{i,1}, \epsilon_{i,2})$  has i.i.d. bivariate normal distribution with mean zero,  $\text{Var}(\epsilon_{i,k}) = \sigma_k^2$ , and  $\text{Cov}(\epsilon_{i,1}, \epsilon_{i,2}) = \sigma_{12}$ .

- (10 pts) Let  $\beta_k = (\beta_{1,k}, \dots, \beta_{p,k})'$ ,  $k = 1, 2$ ,  $\beta = (\beta_1', \beta_2')$ ,  $\mathbf{x}_i = (x_{i,1}, \dots, x_{i,p})'$ , and  $\mathbf{X} = (\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n)'$ . Write down the BLRM in matrix form.
- (25 pts) Suppose  $\sigma_1^2, \sigma_2^2, \sigma_{12}$  are known, derive the generalized least squares (GLS) estimator  $\hat{\beta}_{GLS}$ . An economist wants to fit the above BLRM to his data, but he only knows how to run ordinary LS (OLS) regression. So he estimated  $\beta_1$  and  $\beta_2$  separately by applying OLS procedures to (1) and (2) respectively. Will his estimate in general be the same as  $\hat{\beta}_{GLS}$ ? If your answer is “yes”, prove your claim. If your answer is “No”, give a counter example.
- (15 pts) Assuming that  $\mathbf{X}$  is full rank, give unbiased estimators of  $\sigma_1^2$  and  $\sigma_{12}$ , prove that they are indeed unbiased, and give the distribution of  $\hat{\sigma}_1^2$ .
- (5 pts) Derive the variance-covariance matrix for  $\hat{\beta}_{GLS}$ .

The price and consumption per capita of beef and pork annually from 1925 to 1941 together with food consumption per capita index are given below.

1. PBE = Price of beef (cents/lb)
2. CBE = Consumption of beef per capita (lbs)
3. PPO = Price of pork (cents/lb)
4. CPO = Consumption of pork per capita (lbs)
5. CFO = Food consumption per capita index (1947-1949 = 100)

YEAR	PBE	CBE	PPO	CPO	CFO
1925	59.7	58.6	60.5	65.8	90.9
1926	59.7	59.4	63.3	63.3	92.1
1927	63.0	53.7	59.9	66.8	90.9
1928	71.0	48.1	56.3	69.9	90.9
1929	71.0	49.0	55.0	68.7	91.1
1930	74.2	48.2	59.6	66.1	90.7
1931	72.1	47.9	57.0	67.4	90.0
1932	79.0	46.0	49.5	69.7	87.8
1933	73.1	50.8	47.3	68.7	88.0
1934	70.2	55.2	56.6	62.2	89.1
1935	82.2	52.2	73.9	47.7	87.3
1936	68.4	57.3	64.4	54.4	90.5
1937	73.0	54.4	62.2	55.0	90.4
1938	70.2	53.6	59.9	57.4	90.6
1939	67.8	53.9	51.0	63.9	93.8
1940	63.4	54.2	41.5	72.4	95.5
1941	56.0	60.0	43.9	67.4	97.5

The Pork Producers Association (PPA) would like to understand the relationship between pork/beef price and their per capita consumption. A BLRM is fitted to the data, and following are the R outputs:

```
> bp.lm <- lm(cbind(CBE,CPO)~YEAR+PBE+PPO+CFO)
> summary(bp.lm)
```

Response CBE :

Call:

```
lm(formula = CBE ~ YEAR + PBE + PPO + CFO)
```

Residuals:

Min	1Q	Median	3Q	Max
-2.5413	-0.3821	0.3516	0.6650	1.6315

Coefficients:

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t )	
(Intercept)	-886.50268	173.34403	-5.114	0.000256	***
YEAR	0.55177	0.09957	5.541	0.000127	***
PBE	-0.84239	0.10464	-8.050	3.53e-06	***
PPO	0.24590	0.05475	4.491	0.000738	***
CFO	-0.90892	0.31591	-2.877	0.013905	*

---

Signif. codes: 0 '\*\*\*' 0.001 '\*\*' 0.01 '\*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1

Residual standard error: 1.457 on 12 degrees of freedom

Multiple R-Squared: 0.9131, Adjusted R-squared: 0.8841

F-statistic: 31.51 on 4 and 12 DF, p-value: 2.795e-06

Response CPO :

Call:

lm(formula = CPO ~ YEAR + PBE + PPO + CFO)

Residuals:

Min	1Q	Median	3Q	Max
-1.0415	-0.8768	-0.5417	0.6569	1.9128

Coefficients:

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t )	
(Intercept)	1940.60799	129.45688	14.990	3.92e-09	***
YEAR	-0.99782	0.07436	-13.419	1.38e-08	***
PBE	0.25411	0.07815	3.252	0.00694	**
PPO	-0.79008	0.04089	-19.323	2.08e-10	***
CFO	0.87094	0.23593	3.691	0.00308	**

---

Signif. codes: 0 '\*\*\*' 0.001 '\*\*' 0.01 '\*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1

Residual standard error: 1.088 on 12 degrees of freedom

Multiple R-Squared: 0.9799, Adjusted R-squared: 0.9732

F-statistic: 146.2 on 4 and 12 DF, p-value: 4.548e-10

In addition, we know that  $\sum_i e_{i,1}e_{i,2} = -14.869$ , where  $e_{i,k}$  are the residuals from the BLRM, and  $(\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1}$  is given by

	(Intercept)	YEAR	PBE	PPO	CFO
(Intercept)	14151.76	-8.015584	4.061781	-0.869267	12.20913
YEAR	-8.02	0.004669	-0.002907	0.000283	-0.00907
PBE	4.06	-0.002907	0.005157	0.000192	0.01309
PPO	-0.87	0.000283	0.000192	0.001412	0.00252
CFO	12.21	-0.009071	0.013089	0.002522	0.04700

- (10 pts) Write a report of no more than 200 words explaining the fitted model to someone from PPA who don't know much about statistics.
- (15 pts) If for the year 1942 the CFO remains the same as 1941, and the PBE is expected to decrease by 6 cents/lb. In order to maintain the pork per capita consumption at the same level as in 1941, how much should the PPO decrease? Give a point estimator and its 95% confidence interval.
- (10 pts) Suppose for the year 1942, CFO=95, PBE=50, and PPO=40. Estimate the difference between per capita consumption of beef and pork for 1942, and compute its standard error.
- (10 pts) Outline in no more than 200 words any further analysis you can do before writing a final report for PPA on relationship between PBE, CBE, PPO, and CFO.

## Solutions, 2006 CWE 174

1. Let  $\mathbf{Y} = (\mathbf{Y}'_1, \mathbf{Y}'_2)'$ ,  $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} = ((\boldsymbol{\epsilon}'_1, \boldsymbol{\epsilon}'_2)')$ , and  $\mathbf{Z} = \text{diag}(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{X})$ , the BLRM can be written as

$$\mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{Z}\boldsymbol{\beta} + \boldsymbol{\epsilon}.$$

2.  $\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{GLS} = (\mathbf{Z}'\mathbf{V}^{-1}\mathbf{Z})^{-1}\mathbf{Z}'\mathbf{V}^{-1}\mathbf{Y}$ , where

$$\mathbf{V} = \text{Var}(\mathbf{Y}) = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_1^2\mathbf{I} & \sigma_{12}\mathbf{I} \\ \sigma_{12}\mathbf{I} & \sigma_2^2\mathbf{I} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Matrix computation leads to  $\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{GLS} = \begin{pmatrix} (\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{Y}_1 \\ (\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{Y}_2 \end{pmatrix}$ , i.e., the GLS and OLS estimator is the same, and for the rest we drop the subscript GLS.

3. Let  $\mathbf{e} = \mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{Z}\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} = (\mathbf{e}'_1, \mathbf{e}'_2)'$ ,  $\widehat{\sigma}_1^2 = \mathbf{e}'_1\mathbf{e}_1/(n-p) \sim \chi_{n-p}^2$ , and  $\widehat{\sigma}_{12} = \mathbf{e}'_1\mathbf{e}_2/(n-p)$ .

4.  $\text{Var}(\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}) = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_1^2(\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1} & \sigma_{12}(\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1} \\ \sigma_{12}(\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1} & \sigma_2^2(\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1} \end{pmatrix}.$

5. Interpretation of the coefficients.

6. Let  $x_0$  be the price decrease for PPO, then

$$\beta_{22} - 6\beta_{32} - x_0\beta_{42} = 0.$$

Point estimator:  $\widehat{x}_0 = (-0.99782 - 6 * 0.25411)/(-0.79008) = 3.19$ .

CI can be obtained using Feiller's method: solve for  $x_0$  the following inequality

$$(\beta_{22} - 6\beta_{32} - x_0\beta_{42})^2 / \text{Var}(\beta_{22} - 6\beta_{32} - x_0\beta_{42}) \leq t_{12,0.975}^2,$$

and we have  $x_0 \in (2.205, 4.225)$ .

7. Let  $\mathbf{x}_h = (1, 1942, 50, 40, 95)'$ , the difference can be written as  $(\mathbf{x}'_h, -\mathbf{x}'_h)\boldsymbol{\beta}$ .

Point estimator:  $(\mathbf{x}'_h, -\mathbf{x}'_h)\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} = -0.279$ .

Variance:  $(\mathbf{x}'_h, -\mathbf{x}'_h)\text{Var}(\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}})(\mathbf{x}'_h, -\mathbf{x}'_h)' = (\sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2 - 2\sigma_{12})\mathbf{x}'_h(\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{x}_h = 1.247$

8. Diagnostics, check for temporal correlation, colinearity, etc.